

## REMARKS

Claims 1-2 and 6-14 are now pending in the application. By this paper, Claims 1, 2, 6, 13, and 14 have been amended and Claims 3-5 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. The basis for these amendments can be found throughout the specification, claims, and drawings originally filed. No new matter has been added. The preceding amendments and the following remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action and are believed to place the application in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

## REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 4, and 7-14 insofar as can be determined in the absence of complete translation of the Japanese document are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mamoru et al. (JP 3-127844).

These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Independent Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to include the allowable subject matter of Claim 5 and independent Claims 13 and 14 have been amended to include the allowable subject matter of Claim 6. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1, 2, 13, and 14, as well as Claims 6-12, respectively dependent therefrom, are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

### **ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

The Examiner states that Claims 5 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, Applicant has amended independent Claims 1 and 2 to include the limitations of allowable Claim 5 and independent Claims 13 and 14 have been amended to include the limitations of allowable Claim 6. Therefore, Claims 1, 2, 13, and 14, as well as Claims 6-12, respectively dependent therefrom, should be in condition for allowance.

### **CONCLUSION**

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: *Sept. 19, 2005*

By:   
G. Gregory Schivley  
Reg. No. 27,382  
Bryant E. Wade  
Reg. No. 40,344

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

GGS/BEW/MHS